

APPLICATION: 16-1829 DEV, RIVERFRONT PARK GREAT FLOODS PLAY AREA

Sponsor: Spokane City Parks & Rec Dept

Program: Land and Water Conservation

Status: Application Submitted

APPLICATION REVIEW

LINKS TO ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

[Development Projects - Manual 4](#)

[Long Term Obligations - Manual 7](#)

[Developing a PowerPoint Presentation](#)

[Land and Water Conservation Fund](#)

[Grant Applicant's To-Do-Lists](#)

[LWCF Grant Applicant's To-Do-Lists](#)

ADVISORY COMMITTEE COMMENTS

Grant Manager Comments/Review

******Need more NEED criteria response and slides, service area mapping, location of other playgrounds, etc. This is the most critical criteria for many reasons. IT is worth the most points and it sets the stage for the rest of the presentation. MAKE sure you address all of the detailed scoring criteria -**

1. Need. Considering the availability of existing outdoor recreation facilities within the service area, what is the need for new or improved facilities? Describe your service area, the need for the project and how it relates to the service area, with quantifiable data that supports the following:

- Inventory of existing sites and facilities within the service area
- Amount of use of existing sites and their condition
- Populations or user groups in your service area that have unmet needs
- Changing demographics
- Whether the project is named by location or type as a priority in an adopted plan such as a community's comprehensive plan (level of service), a state agency capital improvement plan, or a park or open space plan

Need to know what question you are answering. Show on slides. Use it as the title

More photos needed, more slides. Too much narration on a stagnant slide

Too much sustainability info - focus more on the NEED assessment

Community support - show stakeholder meetings - pictures of these not a bunch of hands. List the meetings and the stakeholder process as bullets. Show kids participating in the planning process.

Perhaps do a little more explanation on the master plan for the whole park and how this fits into place. This will help in explaining the bond of \$64 mil and why money is needed to be leveraged to complete the park. From my understanding a good portion of the \$64 mil is going to be consumed by the bridges.

Cost efficiencies - need more info on this or you will not score well. Cost efficiencies is mostly evaluated by non state, non federal, non local match